

The European Research Council



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission


ERC Synergy grants 2023

Giuliano SCALZI

Synergy grants call co-coordinator

B.2 - Call and Project Follow-up Coordination Unit,

Webinar ERC Synergy Grant 2023



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

ERC Grant Schemes



Starting Grants

2-7 years after PhD
up to € 1.5 + 1 Mio
for 5 years



50%



50%

Consolidator Grants

7-12 years after PhD
up to € 2 + 1 Mio
for 5 years



40%



50%

Advanced Grants

track-record of significant
research achievements
in the last 10 years
up to € 2.5 + 1 Mio
for 5 years



30%



50%

Synergy Grants

2 – 4 Principal Investigators
any career stage
up to € 10.0 + 4 Mio for 6 years

1 PI can be based outside EU/Associated Country



30%



50%, except
non-EU/AC PI

Proof-of-Concept


bridging gap between research - earliest stage of marketable or social innovation
lump sum €150,000 for ERC grant holders




ERC Funded Projects by Country of Host Institutions



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission



ERC Grants versus Top Publications




Correlation of **0.97**
between nr of ERC grants
and nr of top-1% highly
cited publications per
country.

Correlation of **0.85**
between nr of ERC grants
and GERP per country.

———— Linear fit

Host countries as of
26/08/2020

Researchers from Spain at the ERC




Is your country successful in the Synergy grants calls?



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

SyG 2020 Success rate by country of Host institutions (counting all occurrences of HIs in a given country)




Additional 37 countries have no successful proposals

Overview




European Research Council
Established by the European Commission



Design of the Synergy call 2023


The grant scheme description is pending on the approval of the ERC Work Programme 2023 in mid-July. Please check the final version on the ERC website.



ERC Synergy Grant features



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission



ERC Synergy Grant features

Synergy aspects



European Research Council

Established by the European Commission

SYNERGY

A major scientific question with a transformative scientific potential that could not be addressed by an individual PI and their team working alone

Involves teams with exceptional combinations of knowledge and skills with the PIs holding a central role

PIs must demonstrate that their group can successfully bring together the scientific elements necessary to address the scope and complexity of the proposed research question.

ERC Synergy Grant features

Synergy aspects



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

NOT
SYNERGY

Loose cooperation or
networking between PIs

Simple passing of data or
information from one team
to another

Note: The proposed work does not need to cover more than one discipline or field to be considered for the Synergy grants

ERC Synergy - Proposal Structure

In step 1 only part B1 is reviewed.

Administrative data and eligibility are checked by ERC staff.

Note! Each Host Institution has to submit their support letter for the PI(s) hosted by them.



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Administrative forms (Part A)

- 1 – General information
- 2 – Administrative data of organisations
- 3 – Budget
- 4 – Ethics
- 5 – Call specific questions

4-6 ERC keywords are selected, panels are not defined at submission

Annexes

Commitment of all the Host Institutions, ethics docs, etc.

Part B1 (submitted as pdf)

Evaluated in Step 1 & Step 2 & Step 3

Text box - Cross-domain nature explanation

- a – Extended synopsis 5 pages
- b – Curriculum vitae 2 pages ***per PI!***
- Appendix – Funding ID
- c - Track-record 2 pages ***per PI!***

Part B2 (submitted as pdf)

NOT evaluated in Step 1 (only in Step 2 & 3)

- Scientific proposal 15 pages
- a – State-of-the-art and objectives
- b – Methodology
- c – Resources ***(budget breakdown per PI + a joint one)***

Proposal submission

updated guidance on the track record



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Review of funding strategy in light of DORA principles discussed by the Standing Committee on Panels. Regarding the WP the following advice was integrated in the text:

- **Journal Impact Factor not accepted anymore among the field relevant bibliometric indicators** that may be included as part of the publications track record required for applications to the main frontier research grants,
- The track record should specify that the list of achievements under each Principal Investigator profile is not exclusive, other types of achievements can be included if relevant to the research field and project proposal,
- Within the existing proposal template and page limits, Principal Investigators can provide a **short narrative description of the scientific importance of the research outputs** submitted as part of the proposal, and of the role that the Principal Investigator played in their production.

Evaluation

hardship measures related to Covid-19



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

In the context of the Covid-19 outbreak, applicants may mention in their research proposal (Curriculum Vitae) any specific situation caused by the pandemic that had a negative effect on their curriculum vitae or track record.

Features:

- Similar to the approach used for career breaks and unconventional research career paths,
- Case-by-case evaluation by each Panel based on the applicant's statements,
- Part B1 template of the proposal includes a dedicated section to describe the Covid-19 impact to scientific productivity.



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

New eligibility condition

Gender Equality Plan



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

- Host Institutions must have a Gender Equality Plan (GEP) in place for the duration of the project.
- The absence of a GEP does not affect the evaluation of a proposal. **For SyG2023 a GEP is obligatory at granting stage.**
- The attention of applicants is called at the time of application about the consequence of the absence of a GEP at the time of the signature of the grant.
- The Gender Equality Plan requirement is detailed in the new Annex 5 of the Work Programme. Minimum process-related requirements:
 - Formal document published on the institution's website and signed by the top management,
 - Commitment of resources and gender expertise to implement it,
 - Production of sex/gender disaggregated data on personnel (and students for establishments concerned) and annual reporting based on indicators,
 - Awareness raising/trainings on gender equality and unconscious gender biases for staff and decision-makers.



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

Indicative submission restrictions for the SyG2023 call

Please check the actual rules in the ERC Work Programme 2023 to be adopted mid-July 2022.

When checking for a rule look for the call year, not calendar year.



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

| Evaluation | | Can a PI apply to | | |
|--|------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Result of SyG2022 | Step | StG/CoG call in 2023? | AdG call in 2023? | SyG call in 2023? |
| C | 1 | yes | no | no |
| B | 1 | yes | yes | yes |
| B | 2 | yes | yes | yes |
| A or B | 3 | yes | yes | yes |
| Applicants to previous StG/CoG/AdG ERC calls can apply to the SyG2023 call regardless of the score received previously. | | | | |
| To be eligible for SyG2023: a running ERC grant has to end before 8 November 2025 | | | | |
| A PI can be part of only one application published under the <u>same</u> Work Programme regardless of the call. The first application will be considered, the subsequent ones will be declared ineligible. | | | | |



What are the evaluation criteria?

Excellence is the sole evaluation criterion: addressed at two levels



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

1. EXCELLENCE OF THE RESEARCH PROJECT

- Ground breaking nature
- Potential impact
- Scientific approach
- Synergetic aspects
- In **step 1** the **feasibility** is assessed only => methodology in step 2 and 3
- Resources are not assessed in step 1

2. EXCELLENCE OF THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

- Each PI assessed according to their career benchmarks
- Intellectual capacity
- Creativity
- Commitment => evaluated in step 2 and 3 only

ERC SyG 2023 Evaluation questions

The following are used in STEP 1, 2 and 3. The answers to the below questions should be found in part B1, as only the short synopsis is evaluated at step 1.



European Research Council

1. Research Project

Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project

- *To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?*
- *To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond the state of the art (e.g. novel concepts and approaches or development between or across disciplines)?*
- *To what extent is the proposed research high risk-high gain (i.e. if successful the payoffs will be very significant, but there is a high risk that the research project does not entirely fulfil its aims)?*

Scientific Approach

- *To what extent is the outlined scientific approach feasible bearing in mind the extent that the proposed research is high risk/high gain?*
- *To what extent does the proposal go beyond what the individual Principal Investigators could achieve alone?*
- *Reformulated in SyG2022: To what extent do the Principal Investigators succeed in proposing a combination of scientific approaches that are crucial to address the scope and complexity of the research questions to be tackled?*

ERC SyG 2023 Evaluation questions

In addition to the questions on the previous slide, the following are used only if your proposal passed to step 2 – therefore the answers have to be found in part B2 of your proposal



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

1. Research Project

Scientific Approach

- *To what extent are the proposed research methodology and working arrangements appropriate to achieve the goals of the project?*
- *To what extent does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology*
- *To what extent are the proposed timescales, resources and PI commitment adequate and properly justified?*



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

ERC SyG 2023 Evaluation questions - continued

All the questions relating to the Principal Investigator group are used in all steps – be sure you are addressing them in part B1 already



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

2. Principal Investigators

Intellectual capacity and creativity

- *To what extent have the PIs demonstrated the ability to conduct ground-breaking research?*
- *To what extent do the PIs have the required scientific expertise and capacity to successfully execute the project?*

Synergy

- *Reformulated in SyG2022 and used already at step 1: To what extent does the Synergy Grant Group successfully demonstrate in the proposal that it brings together the know-how – such as skills, experience, expertise, disciplines, teams – necessary to address the proposed research question?*



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

ERC SyG 2023 Evaluation Process



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Step 1: 1 panel

REMOTE REVIEWS:

- Only part B1
- Mainly generalists
 - panel members
 - panel evaluators*

27.02 - 03.03.2023

Meeting: panel chairs & vice chairs → scoring:

- A (max 7 x budget)
~ 230 proposals
- B - rejected
- C** - rejected

Step 2: 5 panels

REMOTE REVIEWS:

- Parts B1 & B2
- Mainly generalists:
panel members
- Specialists: remote referees

26 - 30.06.2023

Meeting: panel members → scoring

- A (max 4 x budget)
~ 100 proposals
- B - rejected

Step 3: 5 panels

NO REMOTE REVIEWS

- Parts B1 & B2
- Panel members: interview preparation: formulation of questions based on step 2 reviews/panel discussion

11 - 15.09.2023 Meeting: panel members; **interviews with all PIs** → ranking

- Top A - main list ~ 30 funded
- A below funding limit - reserve + rejected
- B - rejected

*panel evaluators (PEVs) are panel members of other ERC calls assisting in the remote review phase by providing individual reviews

** might be restricted from applying to the next ERC SyG call.

Who is evaluating Synergy proposals?

SyG 2020 - Evaluators' profiles

Step 1: Remote Evaluation – all experts in one panel




European Research Council
Established by the European Commission


203 Reviewers; 36 Nationalities; 143 Men and 60 Women (30%)

Scientific background

86 Panel Members (PMs)
Including 5 chairs and 6 vice chairs



117 Panel Evaluators
(PEVs) (PMs from other ERC calls)



Who is evaluating Synergy proposals? (2)

SyG 2020 - Evaluators' profiles


Step 2: Panel members in 5 panels + external reviewers



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

- 86 Panel members - distributed in 5 panels in step 2 and 3
- Remote referees: 3528 invited – 1192 reviews submitted
- Each proposal has between 7 and 15 reviews:
 - Panel members between 3 to 7 reviews per proposal
 - Remote referees and panel evaluators: between 3 to 11 reviews

-  **Review delivered**
-  **Not replied**
-  **Declined**
-  **Accepted but not delivered**



2078 Reviews
submitted for 200
proposals

Hints and tips

Preparing an application

Differences in Part B1 and Part B2



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

In **Step 1: Panel members** (act as generalists) they see only **Part B1** of your proposal: Prepare it accordingly!

- Pay particular attention to the **ground-breaking nature** of the research project – no incremental research. State-of-the-art is not enough. Think big!
- For SyG: Synergetic aspects important – **know-how of the group** is assessed together with the **combination** of the scientific elements
- Know your competitors – what is the **state of play** and why is your idea and scientific approach outstanding?
- **Only the extended Synopsis is read at Step 1: concise and clear presentation** is crucial (**Outline** only of the **methodological approach** – feasibility is assessed at step 1)
- Show, if applicable for StG and CoG profiles, the **scientific independence** in the CVs, the scientific leadership in the AdG profile
- **Funding ID** to be filled in carefully for each PI

Hints and tips

Preparing an application

Differences in Part B1 and Part B2



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

In **Step 2** : Both **Part B1 and B2** are sent to specialists around the world (**specialised external referees**)

- Do not just repeat the synopsis in part B2
- Provide **sufficient detail** on methodology, work plan, selection of case studies etc. (15 pages) (references do not count towards page limit)
- Check coherency of figures, **justify requested resources** (outside of 15 pages) – pay attention to the calculations and provide budget for each of the PIs
- Explain **involvement of additional team members** (it is possible to have further beneficiaries/partners in the project)
- Provide alternative strategies to **mitigate risk**

In Step 3: no new reviews are written, but part B1 and B2 are re-assessed



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

Hints and tips

Questions to ask yourself as an applicant



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Principal Investigators – Why me, why this group?

- Is each of the PIs internationally competitive as a researcher at each of their career stage and in each of their discipline?
- Is each of the PIs able to work independently, and to manage a 6-year project with a substantial budget?
- How strong is the group of PIs as a whole?
- Does the proposal demonstrate that the PIs bring together the necessary elements, the know-how to address the research question?



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

Hints and tips

Questions to ask yourself as an applicant



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Research Project – Why now? Why is it important?

- Why is the proposed project important?
- Does it promise to go substantially beyond the state of the art?
- Has it the chance to cross-fertilize disciplines?
- What is the scientific transformative potential?
- Does it have a grand challenge that can boost European research?
- Why are we the best/only persons to carry it out?
- Why is this particular combination of the PIs the best for the project?
- Is the other person(s) really needed as a PI or only as a team member?
- Is it timely? (Why wasn't it done in the past? Is it feasible now?)
- What's the risk? Is it justified by a substantial potential gain? Do we have a plan for managing the risk?



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

💡 Explain the budget properly!



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

- Budget analysis carried out in Step 3 evaluation.
- Panels have the responsibility to ensure that resources requested are reasonable and well justified.
- Budget cuts need to be justified on a proposal-by-proposal basis (no across-the-board cuts).

Not explained costs are often cut!

- Panels recommend a final maximum budget based on the resources allocated/removed.
- Panels do not 'micro-manage' project finances.
- Awards made on a 'take-it-or-leave-it' basis: no negotiations.
- Ask for funding for Open Access in case needed— this is obligatory in Horizon Europe!

Rumour : *Ask for more money, the reviewers will anyhow cut it down.*

✗ NOT true: however, unexplained or non-motivated requests can be cut, so if you artificially inflate your budget, it will be reduced.

When writing the CVs



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

- Remember that the CVs/Track Records are as important as the project!
- Explain what has been each PI's own contribution to their key publications.
- Explain publishing habits in the field and country if needed.
- If the PI knows that he/she has gaps or other issues in the CV (e.g. co-authored publications), explain them.
- Describe activities which can indicate scientific maturity.
- Use the CV template provided by the ERC in the submission system
- No need to provide PhD supporting documents

Rumour : *One needs publications in Nature/Science/High Impact Factor journals to succeed.*

✗ NOT true. In addition note: for StG profiles: publishing with senior scientists (former supervisors) raises doubts about maturity/scientific independence.

Typical reasons for rejection

Principal investigators

- Insufficient track-record
- Insufficient (potential for) independence (StG and CoG profiles)
- Insufficient experience in leading projects (AdG profile)
- Complementarity of PIs not evident enough
- Not evident that the necessary elements can be successfully brought together (skills, knowledge, experience, expertise, disciplines, methods, approaches, teams)

Proposed project

- Scope: Too narrow \leftrightarrow too broad/unfocussed
- Not synergetic enough to achieve the aims
- Incremental research
- Work plan not detailed enough/unclear
- Insufficient risk management

Poor interview: prepare well! (all PIs in step 3 are invited to an interview)

Hints and tips

Summing-up



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

- Have an **original and exciting idea** that requires the **joint effort** of 2 or 3 or 4 PIs
- **Design a research project** to implement the idea
 - It is not about a consortium, but about a tight-knit small group of PIs and their teams. The PIs are equal and indispensable for the project!
- Get a letter of support from your **Host Institution**- **each HI has to provide a support letter for the PI(s) hosted by them**
- **Write** the research proposal (carefully plan the resources)
 - Choose carefully the 4-6 keywords: applications are not submitted to a StG/CoG/AdG types of the panels
- Read carefully the **evaluation criteria** and try to ensure that the reviewers can find the answers to them in your proposal (part B2 is not a mere repetition of part B1)
- **Get feedback** from your peers
- Don't underestimate the **obstacle of different scientific languages** when you are merging fields
- **Submit** your research proposal **before the deadline** -> fully electronic/web based submission system – try to avoid submitting on the last day

ERC SYG2020 – Scientific Content- no privileged fields of science or scholarship




European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

ERC keywords in SyG 2020 proposals -> relating them to the STG/CoG/AdG panel structure

The results show a great variety in topic coverage – almost all ‘regular’ panels are covered by the 34 funded proposals

Occurrences of keywords from ERC Panels in SyG 2020 Proposals at each step



ERC SYG2020 – Host Institution

No. of proposals in a given Host Institution Country (in the 34 funded proposals)


example 1: France has 13 proposals in which at least one HI is French, in total involving 19 different French Host Institutions

example 2: Germany has 18 proposals involving 26 PIs who are based in 22 German Host Institutions



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

SyG 2020 Number of funded proposals in a Host country
(counting HI countries only once in a proposal)




ERC SYG2020 – Principal Investigators

Nationality of PIs of the proposals selected for funding



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

SyG 2020 country of funded proposals in relation to the nationality of the PIs



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

Take home messages – ERC Synergy grants



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

The end result should be more than the mere sum of the parts.

- Competitive call (7,5% success rate in SyG 2020, expected success rate of 8,4% in Syg2022)
 - only exceptional proposals are likely to be funded that will demonstrate that the **truly ambitious** research questions could lead to breakthroughs **only through the joint effort** of the group of PIs.
- ‘Synergy’ does not mean a loose consortium and is not the end goal
 - The interaction would yield something more than just the sum of the individual parts.
 - To yield possibly either unforeseen, completely new science, to cross fertilize disciplines or to solve important research problems that until now could not be dreamt of solving.
- Early career applicants are encouraged to apply



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

Hints and tips

Where to find more information



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

1. The ERC Work Programme – our legal basis
2. Information for applicants
3. Videos on Synergy grants on the ERC website:
<https://erc.europa.eu/funding/synergy-grants>

(European Commission Decision C(2019) 4864 of 2 July 2019)
ERC Work
Programme
2020



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

4. Videos on ERC Classes

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xbFbkVWgCU&list=PLtv6FnsXqnXAYRk6HCErwMxwML0ZKoMcy>

- What to consider before applying
- How to fill in the application
- The interview
- How the evaluation works



1. National Contact Points (NCP) <https://erc.europa.eu/funding/national-contact-points>



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

Thank you!

Don't hesitate to contact us:

ERC-SYG-APPLICANTS@ec.europa.eu

Giuliano.scalzi@ec.europa.eu

Examples of proposals selected for funding and additional statistics on the SyG2020 call

SyG2020: Proposals selected for funding (LS)



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission


CARBOCENTRE: Activity-Based Profiling of Glycoprocessing Enzymes for Human Health and a Sustainable Society

Budget: 9,057,250€

| | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----|
| Hermen OVERKLEEF (NL) | UNIVERSITEIT LEIDEN | NL |
| Carme ROVIRA (ES) | UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA | ES |
| Gideon DAVIES (UK) | UNIVERSITY OF YORK | UK |

Aim: to develop carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) for human health and sustainable industries.

- To provide visualization, diagnosis, and inhibitor assays and clinical lead compounds for enzymes in **cancers and genetic diseases** (lysosomal storage disorders).
- To explore the natural diversity of CAZymes and to discover, quantify and optimize new enzymes for **food and household applications** and for **biomass conversion to biofuels**.



SyG2020: Proposals selected for funding (LS)



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission


ULTRARESOLUTION: *Beyond super-resolution: ultra-resolution imaging provides solutions for synapse physiology and brain pathology*

Budget: 11 100 221 €

| | | |
|---------------------|---|----|
| Silvio RIZZOLI (DE) | UNIVERSITAETSMEDIZIN GOETTINGEN - GEORGAUGUST-UNIVERSITAET GOETTINGEN – STIFTUNG OEFFENTLICHEN RECHTS | DE |
| Edward BOYDEN (US) | MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY | US |
| Markus SAUER (DE) | JULIUS-MAXIMILIANSUNIVERSITAT WURZBURG | DE |

Aim: to develop ultra-resolution imaging with true molecular resolution of 1-5nm, by combining optics based super-resolution with physical expansion of the samples.

- To reveal the functional organization of key components of the synapse, in health and disease
- To develop protocols for brain pathology samples, for future use in medical diagnostics



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (LS)


SALAMANDRA: Decoding the organization and regeneration of locomotor neuronal networks in tetrapods

Budget: 9.559.650 €

| | | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| Auke JAN IJSPEERT | École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne | CH |
| András SIMON | Karolinska Institute | SE |
| DIMITRI RYCZKO | UNIVERSITÉ DE SHERBROOKE | CA |

- Decode how **functional regeneration of motor circuits** can take place in a tetrapod **after spinal cord lesion**
- Decipher the **interplay of central and peripheral mechanisms in locomotion control** (neural network models, biorobotics)

LS5_2 Systems neuroscience and computational neuroscience, PE7_10 Robotics, LS5_3 Neuronal development, plasticity and regeneration, LS2_1 Molecular genetics, reverse genetics, forward genetics, genome editing




ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (LS)

MICRO-COPS: Microglia Control of Physiological Brain States

Budget: 10.000.000 €

| | | |
|-----------------|---|--------|
| Nils BROSE | Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine | DE |
| Thomas OERTNER | University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf | DE |
| Anne SCHAEFER | Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai | USA/DE |
| Antoine TRILLER | Inserm-Ecole Normale Supérieure | FR |



- How microglia **survey and respond to neuronal activity**?
- How microglia **signal to neurons**?
- How microglia **shape neuronal and circuit function and behaviour**?

LS5_1 Neural cell function, communication and signalling, neurotransmission in neuronal and/or glial cells, LS5_3 Neuronal development, plasticity and regeneration, LS5_7 Neurological disorders, LS2_8 Transcriptomics, LS3_1 Morphology and functional imaging of cells and tissues, LS3_5 Cell signalling and signal transduction

ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (LS)




European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

ATTACK: Analysis of the T cell's Tactical Arsenal for Cancer Killing

Budget: 9.999.018 €

| | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|----|
| Jens RETTIG | Saarland University | DE |
| Cosima BALDARI | University of Siena | IT |
| Michael DUSTIN | University of Oxford | UK |
| Salvatore VALITUTTI | INSERM | FR |

- Analyse the contribution of newly described **Multicore Granules (MCGs)** and **Supramolecular Attack Particles (SMAPs)** to Cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) mediated killing





LS6_3 Regulation and effector functions of the immune response, LS6_2 Adaptive immunity, LS3_4 Cell junctions, cell adhesion, cell communication and the extracellular matrix, LS3_3 Organelle biology and trafficking, LS1_10 Molecular mechanisms of signalling pathways, LS1_1 Macromolecular complexes

ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (LS)

FungalTolerance: Unraveling the complexity of fungal drug tolerance at multiple scales of biology

| | | |
|---------------|------------------------------------|----|
| Judith BERMAN | Tel Aviv University | IL |
| Markus RALSER | Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin | DE |

- Characterize the **diversity of tolerance at the species scale**
- Probe molecular and metabolic **mechanisms of tolerance at the population scale**
- Examine the networks that affect **phenotypic heterogeneity at the cellular scale**



LS2_15 Systems biology, LS2_9 Proteomics, LS2_10 Metabolomics, LS2_7 Metagenomics, LS8_10 Microbial ecology and evolution, LS6_5 Biology of pathogens

Budget: 9.690.918 €

ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (PE)

ArtMotor: Artificial Motor Proteins: toward a designed, autonomous protein motor built from non-motor parts


Budget: 9,999,892 €

| | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Heiner LINKE, DE | Lunds Uni. (SE) |
| Birte HOECKER, DE | Uni. Bayreuth (DE) |
| Paul CURMI, AU | Uni. New South Wales (AUS) |

ArtMotor aims:

- to design and build functional, synthetic protein motors capable of moving and transducing energy
- by constructing synthetic motors based on existing, non-motor protein modules of known molecular function

autonomous walking machine




ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (PE)

AWACA: Atmospheric Water Cycle over Antarctica: Past, Present and Future

Budget: 13 967 283 €

| | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Christophe GENTHON, FR | CNRS (FR) |
| Alexis BERNE, FR | EPFL (CH) |
| Valérie MASSON-DELMOTTE, FR | CEA (FR) |
| Thomas DUBOS, FR | ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE (FR) |



- The project aims to provide a groundbreaking understanding of the past, present and future atmospheric branch of the Antarctic water cycle.
- Gained insights will be a strong basis to develop new physics parameterizations for regional and climate models.

ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (PE)



Q-Xtreme: Macroscopic Quantum Superpositions

Budget: 13.121.677 €

| | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----|
| Oriol ROMERO-ISART (ES) | University Innsbruck | AT |
| Markus ASPELMEYER (AT) | University Vienna | |
| Lukas NOVOTNY (CH) | ETH Zurich | CH |
| Romain QUIDANT (FR) | | |

Goals:

- Prepare & control centre of mass of levitated nanoparticle



- Prepare quantum superposition state of levitated nanoparticle
- Demonstrate macroscopic quantum superposition state of levitated nanoparticle

Test laws of quantum physics at unprecedented macroscopic scales for massive objects only

ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (PE)

UniverScale: sub-percent calibration of the extragalactic distance scale in the era of big surveys

Budget: € 13.997.076


| | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----|
| Grzegorz PIETRZYNSKI (PL) | Polish Academy of Sciences | PL |
| Pierre KERVELLA (FR) | Observatoire de Paris | FR |
| Wolfgang GIEREN (DE) | Universidad de Concepción | CL |
| Bozena CZERNY (PL) | Polish Academy of Sciences | PL |

Goals:

- Improve extragalactic distance measurements using Cepheids & eclipsing binary stars
- Improve extragalactic distance measurements to Supernovae Type Ia
- Test modelling & monitoring (reverberation method) of Active Galactic Nuclei



Determine Hubble constant & physical nature of Dark Energy



ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (SH)



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Nanobubbles: how, when and why does science fail to correct itself?


Budget: 8,325,461 €

| | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----|
| RAPHAËL LÉVY (CPI) | UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT | FR |
| CYRUS MODY | MAASTRICHT UNIVERSITY | NL |
| CYRIL LABBÉ | UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE ALPES | FR |
| WILLEM HALFFMAN | RADBOUD UNIVERSITEIT | NL |

The project will explore how, when and why science fails to correct itself, and explore ways to improve the reliability and efficiency of the scientific process.

The project combines approaches from the natural, engineering, and social sciences and the humanities (Science and Technology Studies).

Focus is on nanobiology, a highly interdisciplinary field founded around the year 2000 that has already seen multiple episodes of overpromising and promotion of erroneous claims.



ERC SYG 2020: Proposals selected for funding (SH)



European Research Council

Established by the European Commission

4-OCEANS: Human History of Marine Life: Extraction, Knowledge, Drivers & Consumption of Marine Resources c.100 BCE to c.1860 CE

Budget: 10 471 753 €

| | | |
|-----------------------|---|----|
| Poul Holm (cPI) - DK | TRINITY COLLEGE, THE UNIVERSITY OF DUBLIN | IE |
| James H. Barrett - UK | THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE | UK |
| Cristina Brito - PT | NOVA UNIVERSITY LISBON | PT |
| Francis Ludlow - IE | TRINITY COLLEGE, THE UNIVERSITY OF DUBLIN | IE |

Aim: to assess the importance of marine life for human societies during the last two millennia.

The project will transcend the binary distinctions of East and West, global-north and global-south, indigenous and colonial, resource exploitation and wildlife conservation, nature and culture, while opening a new window on human-nature dynamics.

Team: interdisciplinary team combining expertise in marine environmental history, climate history, natural history, geography, historical ecology and zooarchaeology.



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

Evaluation reports (ER) sent to the applicants

After the proposals are discussed in the panel meeting, a final score is awarded and the decision summarised in a panel comment



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

STEP 1 REJECTED PROPOSALS

- Predefined standard panel comment based on the score, summarizing the decision taken by the panel
- Individual assessments, without names and grades
- Possible scores given by the panel: 'A', 'B', 'C'
- For 'A' score (passed to step 2) ERs are not provided

STEP 2 REJECTED PROPOSALS

- Carefully drafted panel comments for each rejected proposal
- Individual assessments, without names and grades
- Possible scores: 'A', 'B'
- For 'A' score (passed to step 3) ERs are not provided

STEP 3 ALL PROPOSALS

- Carefully drafted panel comments for each proposal
- Individual assessments, without names and grades
- Possible scores: 'A', 'B'
- Outcome based on ranking: 'A' –(funded; reserve; not funded, but excellent quality) 'B'- not fundable

ERC SYG2020 - Overview

441 proposals submitted - 34 funded → 116 PIs funded



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

| | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Funded |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Proposals evaluated | 438 | 200 | 98 | 34 |
| Success rate (%) | 46 | 49 | 35 | 7.7 |
| Budget multiplier | 5.5 | 2.8 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Average duration (max. 72 months) | 70 | 71 | 71 | 72 |
| Average # PIs | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| % Women throughout the evaluation | 23% | 21% | 20% | 16% |
| Average budget requested (million €) | 9.0 | 9.6 | 10 | 10.4 |
| Average # HIs | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| % proposals including partner organisations | 17% | 20% | 16% | 18% |

Online meeting



Call budget: 350 Million €

Total awarded grant for the 34 selected proposals: 352 133 637 €




European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

SyG 2020 - Profile of Principal Investigators throughout the call

The ERC Scientific Council is encouraging applications from PIs of all career stages -> no discrimination in evaluation

Academic profile of SyG 2020 PIs by years since PhD on 1/1/2020 (% represents profile at submission)



— Submissions — Step 2 — Step 3 — Funded

ERC SyG2020 – Scientific Content (1)


Affinity of proposals to ERC scientific domains



European Research Council

Established by the European Commission

SyG 2020 domain identification from abstracts of proposals in all evaluation steps




ERC SYG2020 – Principal Investigators

Gender dimension throughout the evaluation steps



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission




ERC SYG2020 – Scientific Content (2)

ERC keywords in SyG 2020 proposals



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Occurrences of keywords from ERC Panels in SyG 2020 Proposals at each step



■ Main List
■ Reserve list
■ Unfunded - A score
■ Rejected - B score
■ Rejected at Step 2
■ Rejected at Step 1



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation


ERC SYG2020 – Host Institutions

10 Proposals have Host Institutions outside of EU and AC



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

SyG 2020 proposals by Host Institution country at submission and in funded proposals (counting all HI occurrences in a proposal)



In 34 funded proposals, there are 4 HIs based in Widening countries (PT: 1, CY:1, PL:2 - in the same proposal)

10 based outside EU or AC (US: 5, CA: 2, AU: 1, JP: 1, CL: 1) – only one allowed per proposal



European
Commission

Horizon 2020
European Union funding
for Research & Innovation

SYG 2020 Funded Host Institutions by country

(Additional 37 countries participated with no successful proposals;
counting all occurrences of institutions per proposal in a given country)



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

SYG 2020 # funded Host Institutions by country

