

MSCA DN 2023: Resultados

Seminario nacional online aspectos práctico MSCA DN 2024
9 de octubre de 2024




GOBIERNO
DE ESPAÑA

MINISTERIO
DE CIENCIA, INNOVACIÓN
Y UNIVERSIDADES



oficina
europea

MSCA DN: Evaluation Workflow



- ✓ Automatic pre-allocation done by REA based on MSCA specific key words
- ✓ Vice-Chairs revise the pre-allocation of proposals to experts
- ✓ Do not assign 3 experts from the same nationality to a proposal, taking into account Conflict of Interest

MSCA DN Score Descriptors – Consensus Discussions

Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.	5	4.9 ↕ 4.0	Excellent
Very Good. The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.	4	3.9 ↕ 3.0	Very Good
Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.	3	2.9 ↕ 2.0	Good
Fair. The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.	2	1.9 ↕ 1.0	Fair
Poor. The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.	1		Poor
The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.	0		


- ✓ Involves an exchange on the basis of the 3 individual evaluations –Not just a simple averaging exercise
- ✓ The aim is to find agreement on comments and then the scores
- ✓ “Outlying” opinions are explored
- ✓ Additional evaluators can be used if necessary

MSCA DN Evaluation and scoring


- Proposals funded in ranking order
- **Highly competitive** and need to score of 90-95 + depending on the panel
- **Same scores:** prioritisation decided by panel, based on scores for award criteria (weighting above)
- ✓ If needed further prioritisation based on criteria in line with the Work Programme (e.g. gender balance of supervisors, involvement of non-academic sector, geographical spread, international co-operation, etc.)
- Distribution of the indicative **budget is proportional** to the number of **eligible proposals received** in each panel

Eligible proposals


Submitted eligible proposals in total
(1061 in number)



Total Participants including APs (17981 in number)




Applicants' activity type (for all 17981 participants)



DN 2023 call

Success rates per panel/ mode

128 Main list proposals




Panel	DN	DN-ID	DN-JD	Total
CHE	14	1	1	16
ECO	1	0	0	1
ENG	42	3	2	47
ENV	10	0	0	10
LIF	27	3	1	31
MAT	2	0	0	2
PHY	8	0	2	10
SOC	9	1	1	11
Total	113	8	7	128
Success Rate	12.3%	12.3%	8.9%	12.1%


CHE	ECO	ENG	ENV	LIF	MAT	PHY	SOC	Total
11.8%	5.6%	12.2%	12.2%	12.1%	15.4%	12.3%	12.2%	12.1%




Cut-off Scores (Mainlist)




Gender of coordinators among (128) funded proposals



Gender of funded applicants (funded 1132 participants)



DN 2023 call MS in main list



Panel	Propuestas elegibles ES	Proyectos financiados ES
CHE	25	1
ECO	2	0
ENG	58	8
ENV	12	2
LIF	32	4
MAT	1	0
PHY	9	1
SOC	8	3
TOTAL	147	19

Panel	Average Num DCs*	Min Num DCs*	Max Num DCs*
CHE	13	6	16
ENG	13	7	16
ECO	16	-	-
ENV	12	6	16
LIF	13	8	16
PHY	13	10	16
MAT	10	9	11
SOC	13	9	16

* Estimación sin aplicar CCC en living allowance y 36M de contrato

Type	Average Num DCs	Min Num DCs	Max Num DCs
DN*	13	6	16
ID*	13	7	16
JD**	16	-	-

* Estimación sin aplicar CCC en living allowance y 36M de contrato

** Estimación sin aplicar CCC en living allowance y 48 M de contrato



¡Muchas gracias!

Leticia Riaza Gambero
MSCA NCP in Spain

msca@fecyt.es

"YOU CANNOT
HOPE TO BUILD
A BETTER
WORLD
WITHOUT
IMPROVING
THE
INDIVIDUALS."