

ERC Programme and calls

23 de mayo de 2024, Institut d'Investigacions
Biomèdiques August Pi Sunyer (IDIBAPS)



GOBIERNO
DE ESPAÑA

MINISTERIO
DE CIENCIA, INNOVACIÓN
Y UNIVERSIDADES



oficina
europea

Índex

- ERC Calls for Proposals Summary
- What to expect for WP2025
- Evaluation criterion and procedure
- Health-related topics



ERC Calls for Proposals Summary



At a glance



- Open to researchers from all over the world
- Long-term individual projects
- No thematic priorities
- Frontier, ‘high-risk/high-gain’ research in any scientific field
- Single evaluation criteria: scientific excellence of the research proposal and of the Principal Investigator
- Evaluation by scientists of high international standing
- Offers research staff: Independence, recognition and visibility

ERC main grant schemes

STARTING

- Grants up to **1.5€ million** for 5Y.
- For excellent researchers at the career stage at which they are **starting their own independent research team or programme.**
- **PI Commitment: >50%**
- **2 to 7 years experience after PhD**

CONSOLIDATOR

- Grants up to **2€ million** for 5Y
- **PI Commitment: >40%**
- *Should have already shown evidence of research independence.*
- **7 to 12 years experience after PhD**

ADVANCED

- Grants up to **2.5€ million** for 5Y
- **PI Commitment: >30%**

SYNERGY

- Grants up to **10€ million for 6Y**
- To address **ambitious research questions that can only be answered** by the coordinated work of a small group of **2-4 principal Investigators**
- **PI Commitment: >30%**

Applicants holding a degree in medicine:

- A first degree in medicine will not be accepted by itself as equivalent to a PhD degree. To be considered an eligible Principal Investigator, applicants holding a degree in medicine **need to provide the certificates of both the medical degree and the PhD** or proof of an appointment that requires doctoral equivalency (e.g. post-doctoral fellowship, professorship appointment).
- Additionally, candidates must **also provide information on their research experience** (including peer reviewed publications) in order to further substantiate the equivalence of their overall training to a PhD. **In these cases, the certified date of the medical degree completion plus two years is the reference date** used for the calculation of the eligibility period.
- For applicants **holding both a degree in medicine and a PhD**, the date used for the calculation of the eligibility period (i.e. medical degree plus two years or the date of the successful defence of their PhD degree) is the date of the **earliest degree that makes the applicant eligible**.

ERC main grant schemes: Objectives and Principal Investigator

STARTING

- *Should have already shown **evidence of the potential for research independence**, for example by having produced **at least one important publication** as main author or without the participation of their PhD supervisor.*

CONSOLIDATOR

- *Should have **already shown evidence** of research independence.*

ADVANCED

- *Expected to be an **active researcher** and to have a **track record of significant research achievements**.*
- **Competitive track records** as appropriate to their career stage.

ERC extensions to the eligibility window

- **Maternity:** 18 months extension for each child born before or after the PhD award. Longer maternity leave may be taken into account.
- **Paternity:** elapsed time of paternity leave taken until the call deadline for each child born before or after the PhD award.
- **Long-term illness or national service:** amount of leave taken by the Principal Investigator until the call deadline for each incident which occurred after the PhD award date.
- **Clinical training:** extension by the documented amount of clinical training received by the Principal Investigator after the reference date of the first eligible degree and before the call deadline, up to a maximum of 4 years.
- **Seeking asylum:** inability to work before the call deadline and after PhD.
- **being a victim of a natural disaster:** inability to work before the call deadline and after PhD.

Starting Grant 2025

Successful defence of PhD between:

1 January 2018 and 31 December 2022 (inclusive)

Consolidator Grant 2025

Successful defence of PhD between:

1 January 2013 and 31 December 2017 (inclusive)

Advanced Grant 2024

Advanced Grant 2025

no specific criteria

Applies to all these schemes

- Minimum **50% of PI working time in an EU Member State or Associated Country**
- **Additional funding** up to 1M€ (4M€ SyG) when these are necessary to carry out the proposed work:
 - "start-up" costs for PIs moving to the EU or an AC from elsewhere as a consequence of receiving the ERC grant and/or
 - the purchase of major equipment and/or
 - access to large facilities and/or
 - other major experimental and field work costs, excluding personnel costs.

Synergy Grant - **Who can apply?**

2-3-4 Principal Investigators of any nationality and at any career stage.

With a designated corresponding PI (cPI) and corresponding HI (cHI) who will be the administrative contacts for the duration of the project.

PIs to engage genuinely in the collaboration.

PIs can be in the same or different institutions.

3 step evaluation: with interviews on-site with all PIs in step 3


≥50% of working time in EU or Associated Countries (AC)* and

≥30% of working time on the ERC project

One PI (not the cPI) can apply (and be fully funded) with a Host Institution outside of EU or Associated Countries

PIs must demonstrate that their group can successfully bring together the scientific elements necessary to address the scope and complexity of the proposed research question.

A major scientific question with a transformative scientific potential that could not be addressed by an individual PI and their team working alone.



Note: The proposed work does not need to cover more than one discipline or field to be considered for the Synergy grants

Involves teams with exceptional combinations of knowledge and skills with the PIs holding a central role

What to expect for WP2025



ERC Work Programme 2024 and 2025 Novelties

CONFIDENTIAL

ERC Work Programme 2025

- ERC Work Programme 2025 **approved by the Scientific Council** in February 2024
- **European Commission to adopt** the ERC Work Programme 2025 end of June 2024
- The current budget appropriations – **EUR 2.3bn** – include the amount originally agreed in the Multiannual Financial Framework + fines + EFTA country contributions
- Additional funding from Associated Countries of some **EUR 439m** is expected and has already been included in the provisional total budget

Provisional Total Budget = 2.7bn

Provisional Call Calendar – Work Programme 2025

	<i>Starting Grant</i>	<i>Consolidator Grant</i>	<i>Advanced Grant</i>	<i>Synergy Grant</i>	<i>Proof of Concept Grant</i>
<i>Call opens</i>	10/07/2024	26/09/2024	22/05/2025	11/07/2024	13/11/2024
<i>Call deadline (cut-off date)</i>	15/10/2024	14/01/2025	28/08/2025	06/11/2024	13/03/2025 18/09/2025
<i>Budget (m EUR)</i>	751	719	683	500	30
<i>Estimated nr. of grants</i>	483	354	276	48	200

Novelties I – Eligibility and Submission Restrictions

- **Eligibility extensions (changes):**
 - Extension on the grounds of disability
 - Major disasters – definition elaborated to include disasters caused by humans
- **Evaluation of Synergy Grants:**
 - Flexibility in the number of panels in Step 2: between five and seven
 - At Step 2, the budgetary cut-off to pass to Step 3, reverts to three times the panel budget (instead of four)

Novelties II – Additional Clarifications

Evaluation of Proposals by Panels:

ERC evaluation panels are instructed to approve or reject proposals in their entirety, and they may not select parts of a proposal for funding.

Change in Panel Descriptors:

PE6 - Computer Science and Informatics: Theoretical and experimental computer science, information processing, intelligent systems



Informatics and information systems, computer science, scientific computing, intelligent systems

Lump Sums in the 2024 ERC Advanced Grant call (1/2)

- ✓ Proposals include a budget based on **estimated costs related to activities**
- ✓ Budget is assessed during evaluation (**resources justification and costs plausibility**)
- ✓ Lump sum amount **defined by project** (different for each project) and capped at funding scheme ceiling (2,5 mio + 1 mio additional funding)
- ✓ **1 single lump sum contribution for** the entirety of **the project** (broken down by beneficiary only – NOT per work package)

Lump Sums in the 2024 ERC Advanced Grant call (2/2)

- ✓ Once the Lump Sum contribution is established, costs actually incurred are not relevant (**budget table (not included in the grant agreement) - full flexibility** (transfer between costs categories))
- ✓ EU contribution **paid on basis of activities completion**. Not linked to successful outcome
- ✓ Ex-post **audits only on proper implementation (not costs)** & compliance with non-financial obligations (e.g. ethics, procurement procedures, PI time commitments)
- ✓ Right for grant portability remains for Principal Investigators

ERC AdG 2024 Lump Sum – Timeline ERC WP 2024

	<i>Starting Grant</i>	<i>Consolidator Grant</i>	<i>Advanced Grant</i>	<i>Synergy Grant</i>
<i>Call identifier</i>	ERC-2024-StG	ERC-2024-CoG	ERC-2024-AdG	ERC-2024-SyG
<i>Call opens</i>	11 July 2023	12 September 2023	29 May 2024	12 July 2023
<i>Call deadline</i>	24 October 2023	12 December 2023	29 August 2024	8 November 2023
<i>Planned dates to inform applicants after each step</i>	10 May 2024 23 August 2024	21 June 2024 13 December 2024	31 January 2025 13 June 2025	3 May 2024 30 August 2024 4 November 2024
<i>Indicative date for signature of grant agreements</i>	21 December 2024	12 April 2025	18 November 2025	24 March 2025

Evaluation Process:

STEP1: Nov-Dec. 2024

STEP2: March 2025

Reporting/payment

- **80% pre-financing to ensure cash-flow**
- **1 scientific mid-term report to assess progress and deviations**
- **1 single payment at the end of the project**



Changes to the Submission Forms - Narrative Section (new Structure)

Section C. Resources	
A. Personnel	D. Internally invoiced goods and services
Maximum 2500 characters allowed	Maximum 1000 characters allowed
B. Subcontracting (if applicable)	Request for additional funding justification
Maximum 1000 characters allowed	Maximum 1000 characters allowed
C. Purchase cost (<i>Travel - Equipment - Consumables - Field work - Animal costs - Publications - Other additional direct costs</i>)	Funding from other sources
Maximum 3500 characters allowed	Maximum 1000 characters allowed

- Single box split in 6 sub-sections (text boxes) to provide a more structured format for PIs to justify
- Overall character limit to justify resources extended from 8.000 to 10.000 character

Proposal Evaluation – Evaluation of resources & costs requested by applicants

- **Resources** needed for the project & **plausibility of cost estimates** are assessed during the evaluation
- **In-depth assessment of cost estimates** (i.e. reasonable and non-excessive) **only at evaluation** to define Lump Sum contribution (sound financial management):
 - **eligibility** of costs
 - **reasonable, non-excessive** costs

Evaluation criterion and procedure



Excellence is the sole criterion on the basis of which ERC frontier research grants are awarded



- The ground-breaking nature, ambition, and feasibility of the **research project**.
- The intellectual capacity, creativity, and commitment of the **Principal Investigator(s)**, with a focus on the extent to which the Principal Investigator(s) has the required scientific expertise and capacity to successfully execute the project.

Research Project - Ground-breaking nature, ambition and feasibility

Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project

- To what extent does the proposed research address **important challenges**?
- To what extent are the **objectives ambitious and beyond the state of the art**?

Scientific Approach

- is the outlined **scientific approach feasible** ... ground-breaking nature and ambition of the proposed research?
- are the proposed **research methodology and working arrangements** appropriate to achieve the goals of the project?
- are the proposed **timescales, resources and PI commitment** adequate and justified?

Principal Investigator - Intellectual capacity and creativity

- has the PI demonstrated the **ability to conduct ground-breaking research**?
- does the PI provide evidence of **creative and original thinking**?
- does the PI have the required **scientific expertise and capacity to successfully execute** the project?

Proposal Structure

The ERC full proposal = part B1 + part B2 + Part A*

Part B1 - pdf

Cover Page and summary (1p)

Extended Synopsis (5p)

Curriculum vitae +
Track-record (4p)

*Evaluated in Step
1*

Part B2 - pdf

(14p)

SoA & objectives

Methodology

*NOT evaluated in Step
1 (only in Step 2)*

Part A – online forms

A1 General Information

A2 Participants

A3 Budget: table + description (8000c)

A4 Ethics and security

A5 Other questions

% Time commitment

Excluded Reviewers (up to 3)

Annexes

HI support letter

PhD certificate

Ethics and security issues

Template Eligibility Extension

- One deadline
- 2 steps evaluation process

Form A + Annexes

Part A – online forms

A1 General Information

A2 Participants

A3 Budget: table + description (8000c)

A4 Ethics and security

A5 Other questions

% Time commitment

Excluded Reviewers (up to 3)

Annexes

HI support letter

PhD certificate

Ethics and security issues

Template Eligibility Extension

Gender Equality Plan

Does the organization have a Gender Equality Plan (GEP) covering the elements listed below? Yes No

Ethics issues table + Ethics Self-Assessment

Ethical dimension of the objectives, methodology and likely impact. The main areas that are addressed during the ethics review process include:

1. Human embryonic stem cells and human embryos
2. Human participants
3. Human cells/tissues
4. Personal data
5. Animals
6. Non-EU countries
7. Environment, health and safety
8. Artificial Intelligence

- Compliance with ethical principles and relevant legislations

Security issues table

CV & track record (4 pages)

New **CV and Track Record** template (4 pages)

Personal details: education, key qualifications, current position(s) and relevant previous positions.

Research achievements (<=10) a list of up to 10 research outputs:

- demonstrating advancement in the field
- emphasis on more recent achievements
- short narrative on significance of achievements

Peer recognition: a list of selected examples of significant prizes, fellowships, academy membership, etc.

Additional information:

- career breaks, diverse career paths, life events
- other contributions to research community

The Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA)

COARA The Agreement on Reforming Research Assessment

A short explanation of the significance of the selected outputs, the role of the applicant in producing each of them, and how they demonstrate the applicant's capacity to successfully carry out their proposed project may be included, as well as a short explanation of the importance of the listed examples of significant peer recognition.

The applicant may also include relevant information on, for example, **career breaks, unusual career paths**, as well as any particularly noteworthy **contributions to the research community**. These will not in themselves be evaluated but are important to provide context to the evaluation panels when assessing the principal investigator's research achievements and peer recognition in relation to their career stage.


The ERC proposal




- ✓ Important challenges
- ✓ Ambitious objectives, beyond SoA (novel concept and approach or development between or across disciplines)
- ✓ Feasibility of outlined scientific approach
- ✓ Appropriate methodology and working arrangements to achieve the goals
- ✓ Timescales, resources and PI commitment



ERC 2024 Evaluation process - Individual Grants



ERC SyG Grant 2025



Evaluation panels

28 panels divided into 3 domains. Each panel covers a number of research topics, detailed with their descriptors.

**Physical Sciences
and Engineering (PE)**

11 paneles

Life Sciences (LS)

9 paneles

**Social Sciences and
Humanities (SH)**

8 paneles

When you submit, you need to indicate:

Primary ERC Review Panel : which will in principle evaluate the proposal

Secondary ERC Review Panel: *if applicable*

Please select, if applicable, the ERC keyword(s) that best characterise the subject of your proposal in order of priority.

ERC Keyword 1: As first keyword, choose one which is linked to the Primary Review Panel.

ERC Keyword 2-4: *if applicable, from any panel*

Free keywords: FREE text, they guide (but do not determine) the allocation of proposals to reviewers

Evaluation: Panel Structure

Physical Sciences & Engineering

- PE1 Mathematics
- PE2 Fundamental Constituents of Matter
- PE3 Condensed Matter Physics
- PE4 Physical and Analytical Chemical Sciences
- PE5 Synthetic Chemistry and Materials
- PE6 Computer Science and Informatics
- PE7 Systems and Communication Engineering
- PE8 Products and Processes Engineering
- PE9 Universe Sciences
- PE10 Earth System Science
- PE11 Materials Engineering

PE7 Systems and Communication Engineering

Electrical, electronic, communication, optical and systems engineering

PE7_1 Control engineering

PE7_2 Electrical engineering: power components and/or systems

PE7_3 Simulation engineering and modelling

PE7_4 (Micro- and nano-) systems engineering

PE7_5 (Micro- and nano-) electronic, optoelectronic and photonic components

PE7_6 Communication systems, wireless technology, high-frequency technology

PE7_7 Signal processing

PE7_8 Networks, e.g. communication networks and nodes, Internet of Things, sensor networks, networks of robots

PE7_9 Man-machine interfaces

PE7_10 Robotics

PE7_11 Components and systems for applications (in e.g. medicine, biology, environment)

PE7_12 Electrical energy production, distribution, applications

Evaluation: Panel Structure

Life Sciences

- LS1 Molecules of Life: Biological Mechanisms, Structures and Functions
- LS2 Integrative Biology: From Genes and Genomes to Systems
- LS3 Cell Biology, Development, Stem Cells and Regeneration
- LS4 Physiology in Health, Disease and Ageing
- LS5 Neuroscience and Disorders of the Nervous System
- LS6 Immunity, Infection and Immunotherapy
- LS7 Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of Human Diseases
- LS8 Environmental Biology, Ecology and Evolution
- LS9 Biotechnology and Biosystems Engineering

LS6 Immunity, Infection and Immunotherapy

The immune system, related disorders and their mechanisms, biology of infectious agents and infection, biological basis of prevention and treatment of infectious diseases, innovative immunological tools and approaches, including therapies

LS6_1 Innate immunity

LS6_2 Adaptive immunity

LS6_3 Regulation of the immune response

LS6_4 Immune-related diseases

LS6_5 Biology of pathogens (e.g. bacteria, viruses, parasites, fungi)

LS6_6 Infectious diseases

LS6_7 Mechanisms of infection

LS6_8 Biological basis of prevention and treatment of infection

LS6_9 Antimicrobials, antimicrobial resistance

LS6_10 Vaccine development

LS6_11 Innovative immunological tools and approaches, including therapies

Evaluation: Panel Structure

Social Sciences and Humanities

- SH1 Individuals, Markets and Organisations
- SH2 Institutions, Governance and Legal Systems
- SH3 The Social World and Its Diversity
- SH4 The Human Mind and Its Complexity
- SH5 Cultures and Cultural Production
- SH6 The Study of the Human Past
- SH7 Human Mobility, Environment, and Space
- SH8 Studies of Cultures and Arts

SH8 Studies of Cultures and Arts

Social anthropology, studies of cultures, studies of arts

SH8_1 Kinship; diversity and identities, gender, interethnic relations

SH8_2 Religious studies, ritual; symbolic representation

SH8_3 Cultural studies and theory, cultural identities and memories, cultural heritage

SH8_4 Museums, exhibitions, conservation and restoration

SH8_5 History of art and of architecture

SH8_6 Architecture, design, craft, creative industries

SH8_7 Music and musicology; history of music

SH8_8 Visual and performing arts, screen, arts-based research

SH8_9 Digital approaches to anthropology, cultural studies and art

Panel Members

Each of the 28 panels is composed by 12-18 panel members.

More than 450 panel members per call and year!

The panel chair is known during the evaluation however the composition is made public once the results are published.

The full list of **panel members** and **remote referees** will be published once the call is resolved.

A panel may not include an expert in your discipline , they are semi-generalists , **but!** ERC can establish collaborations between panels...

The members of ERC panels alternate to allow panel members to apply to the ERC calls in alternate years.

ERC-2024-Consolidator Grant. Panel Chairs Life Science

- **LS1** Prof. Bert Poolman
- **LS2** Prof. Magnus Nordborg
- **LS3** Prof. Florian Greten
- **LS4** Prof. James Woodgett
- **LS5** Prof. Michael Brecht
- **LS6** Prof. Jacques Neefjes
- **LS7** Prof. Marianne Van der Sande
- **LS8** Prof. Arjen Biere
- **LS9** Prof. Anne Meyer

Panel Members

LS7 Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of Human Diseases

- LS7_1 Medical imaging for prevention, diagnosis and monitoring of diseases
- LS7_2 Medical technologies and tools (including genetic tools and biomarkers) for prevention, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment of diseases
- LS7_3 Nanomedicine
- LS7_4 Regenerative medicine
- LS7_5 Applied gene, cell and immune therapies
- LS7_6 Other medical therapeutic interventions, including transplantation
- LS7_7 Pharmacology and toxicology
- LS7_8 Effectiveness of interventions, including resistance to therapies
- LS7_9 Public health and epidemiology
- LS7_10 Preventative and prognostic medicine
- LS7_11 Environmental health, occupational medicine
- LS7_12 Health care, including care for the ageing population
- LS7_13 Palliative medicine
- LS7_14 Digital medicine, e-medicine, medical applications of artificial intelligence
- LS7_15 Medical ethics


Panel members in the ERC Starting Grant 2023 peer review, appointed by the ERC Scientific Council.

- Liesbet Geris (Panel Chair)
 - Janne Backman
 - Ari Barzilai
 - Maria Blanco-Prieto
 - Maria Blettner
 - Teun Bousema
 - Micha Drukker
 - Alejandro Frangi
 - Alessia Gimelli
 - Václav Hořejší
 - Jorgen Kjems
 - Ian Law
 - Evi Lianidou
 - Craig Morgan
 - Annette Paschen
 - Mickael Tanter
 - Martine Vrijheid
 - Serena Zacchigna

**This story was
based on fact.
Any similarity
with fictitious
events or
characters was
purely
coincidental**



LS7 Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of Human Diseases

- LS7_1 Medical imaging for prevention, diagnosis and monitoring of diseases
- LS7_2 Medical technologies and tools (including genetic tools and biomarkers) for prevention, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment of diseases
- **LS7_3 Nanomedicine**  Your proposal
- LS7_4 Regenerative medicine
- LS7_5 Applied gene, cell and immune therapies
- LS7_6 Other medical therapeutic interventions, including transplantation
- LS7_7 Pharmacology and toxicology
- LS7_8 Effectiveness of interventions, including resistance to therapies
- LS7_9 Public health and epidemiology
- LS7_10 Preventative and prognostic medicine
- LS7_11 Environmental health, occupational medicine
- LS7_12 Health care, including care for the ageing population
- LS7_13 Palliative medicine
- LS7_14 Digital medicine, e-medicine, medical applications of artificial intelligence
- LS7_15 Medical ethics

Liesbet Geris (Panel Chair)

Janne Backman

Ari Barzilai

Maria Blanco-Prieto

Lead reviewer

Maria Blettner

Teun Bousema

Micha Drukker

Reviewer

Alejandro Frangi

Alessia Gimelli

Václav Hořejší

Jorgen Kjems

Reviewer

Ian Law

Evi Lianidou

Craig Morgan

Annette Paschen

Reviewer

Mickael Tanter

Martine Vrijheid

Serena Zacchigna

Liesbet Geris (Panel Chair)

Janne Backman

Ari Barzilai

Maria Blanco-Prieto

Maria Blettner

Teun Bousema

Micha Drukker

Alejandro Frangi

Alessia Gimelli

Václav Hořejší

Jorgen Kjems

Ian Law

Evi Lianidou

Craig Morgan

Annette Paschen

Mickael Tanter

Martine Vrijheid

Serena Zacchigna

External referees

(Lead Reviewer)

Proposes 10 external referees for the second step of the evaluation

+ 10

+ 10

+ 10

.....

Experts identification tool: Propy The ERCEA informed the ScC members about Propy, the support tool for the identification of potential panel members and remote referees for the evaluation of proposals: <https://www.propy.science/referee-finder/>

The ERC proposal



Extended Synopsis
Curriculum vitae + Track-record

Intrigue (part B1)



SoA & objectives
Methodology

Convince (part B2)

Inspire (Parts B1+B2+ interview)

End of fiction. Lessons learned



Knowing the **potential panelists** (or similar profiles) is a good strategy for success.

- Think about who might read the proposal.
- Make them **understand the impact** on the scientific field.
- Tell them a story that will appeal to them (B1) and to convince them (B2)

Health-related topics



General recommendations

Part B1: Find the right balance

- ✓ Innovative? Beyond state-of-art?
Realistic/feasible?
- ✓ Outline state of play (incl. competition)
- ✓ Goals realistic? Think about risk mitigation
- ✓ Be concise & clear
(also for generalists)
- ✓ Feasibility (scientific approach)

Part B2: Fill in the details

- ✓ No verbatim repetition of synopsis
- ✓ Detailed state-of-art
- ✓ Extensive methodology and work plan
- ✓ Provide risk mitigation strategies
- ✓ Explain involvement of team members & collaborators
- ✓ Justify requested resources - Panels have to ensure that the requested resources are reasonable and well justified.

To bear in mind...

- General recommendations also apply to you.
- Panel members are scientists like you, who want to read science and understand the particularities of your project.
- Ethics issues
- Diversity of scientific careers
- If you need to run clinical trials, work with hospitals or CRO, you can do it.
- Impact on patients vs. impact on science

Bibliometric profile of grantees

- This study analyses the bibliometric profile of Starting, Consolidator and Advanced grantees of the European Research Council (ERC) calls in 2018, 2019 and 2020.
- The analysis is based on Scopus data in Scival (Elsevier), accessed in August 2021.



<https://www.fecyt.es/es/tematica/european-research-council-erc>

Starting Grants (STG) 2018, 2019 y 2020 - ERC

El umbral Starting Grant de la convocatoria del European Research Council se distribuye en **25 paneles** de evaluación que se engloban en **3 dominios científicos**

Life Science (LS)
Physical Sciences & Engineering (PE)
Social Science & Humanities (SH)



Para analizar los perfiles de estos beneficiarios se han obtenido 3.119 códigos de autor:


- 1.047 códigos ORCID
- 473 RI de WOS
- **1.249 Author ID de Scopus**
- 350 perfiles de Google Scholar en el ámbito de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades

El total de beneficiarios STG en los años 2018, 2019 y 2020 fue de **1.250**


1.230 beneficiarios incluidos en el estudio (20 perfiles sin información)

98,4 %


LS4: Physiology in Health, Disease and Ageing



LS9 Biotechnology and Biosystems Engineering



LS2: Integrative Biology: from Genes and Genomes to System



Advanced Grant

LS6: Immunity & Infection

Panel	MEDIA Número de publicaciones	MEDIA Número de citas por publicación	MEDIA Índice H temporal	Valor máximo índice H temporal	Valor mínimo índice H temporal	Publicaciones Nature	Publicaciones Science
ADG_2018	83	90	41	80	23	13	6
ADG_2019	111	73	44	65	21	11	10
ADG_2020	70	53	31	52	16	10	3

LS7: Diagnostic Tools, Therapies & Public Health

Panel	MEDIA Número de publicaciones	MEDIA Número de citas por publicación	MEDIA Índice H temporal	Valor máximo índice H temporal	Valor mínimo índice H temporal	Publicaciones Nature	Publicaciones Science
ADG_2018	165	122	48	83	31	2	0
ADG_2019	163	64	45	94	15	18	2
ADG_2020	173	82	44	90	17	14	5

Support services

And useful links

Delegación española del programa ERC

**Representante
Comité de Programa
ERC**



Jose Luis García
CIB-CSIC

National Contact Points ERC
erc@fecyt.es



Estefanía Muñoz
FECYT, MCIN




Esther Guirado
Fundación Pública
Andaluz Progreso y
Salud



Leticia Ríaza
FECYT, MCIN













**Técnica soporte a
servicios ERC**



Carmen Estévez
FECYT, MCIN



Oficina Europea de la FECYT

 <p>Borja Izquierdo Director de Internacional borja.izquierdo@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>	 <p>Esther Rodríguez Responsable unidad Oficina Europea esther.rodriguez@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>	 <p>Estefanía Muñoz Experta y NCP Programa ERC erc@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>
 <p>Leticia Riaza Experta y NCP Programa ERC/ NCP Programa MSCA erc@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>	 <p>Gustavo García NCP Asuntos Legales y Financieros gustavo.garcia@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>	 <p>Mª Carmen Bello NCP Asuntos Legales y Financieros carmen.bello@fecyt.es 91 425 09 09</p>
 <p>Maurizio Toscano Manager Portal Europeo NCPs en Horizonte Europa maurizio.toscano@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>	 <p>Carmen Estevez Apoyo administrativo a NCPs carmen.estevez@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>	 <p>Adeline Marcos Experta y NCP Cluster 2 Cultura, creatividad y sociedad inclusiva cluster2.heu@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>
 <p>Beatriz Escalona Soporte administrativo, comunicación y eventos beatriz.escalona@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>	 <p>Marta Marín Pathfinder Experta y NCP Cluster 1 Salud marta.marin@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>	 <p>Noelia Romero Widening - COST & ERA Cost National Coordinator and Widening NCP Widening-ERA@fecyt.es +34 91 425 09 09</p>

Árbol de servicios de apoyo al programa

Information related to the services organised by FECYT to support the European Research Council (ERC) calls for proposals

<https://www.horizonteeuropa.es/arbol-de-servicios-de-apoyo-al-programa-european-research-council-erc>





Stay tuned!

[Subscribe to our newsletter](#)

Useful links

- Database of all **funded projects** by year and threshold/call (and much more)



- <https://erc.europa.eu/projects-statistics>

- [Panel Members | ERC - European Union](https://erc.europa.eu/apply-grant/panel-members?IPGWtPdOmn=&page=85)



<https://erc.europa.eu/apply-grant/panel-members?IPGWtPdOmn=&page=85>

Series of videos – ERC Classes – for potential applicants:



Step-by-step to the ERC application process (5:19 min)

<https://youtu.be/xbFbzkVWgCU>

How to get started with your ERC grant? (11:37 min)

<https://youtu.be/O7mOFL2tIQ8>

How to write part 1 of your ERC proposal? (14:33 min)

<https://youtu.be/HsmQRM88yyM>

How to write part 2 of your ERC proposal? (8:26 min)

<https://youtu.be/NnDLnabEpxQ>

How do we evaluate your ERC proposal? (11:48 min)

<https://youtu.be/FFhZX00AUV4>

How to prepare for your ERC interview? (9:02 min)

<https://youtu.be/F4qXVGcdH5w>

How to apply for your ERC Proof of Concept Grant

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v_WAkRkgWKs

*Gracias por su
atención*



GOBIERNO
DE ESPAÑA

MINISTERIO
DE CIENCIA, INNOVACIÓN
Y UNIVERSIDADES

FECYT
INNOVACIÓN

