Sesión informativa Proyectos Posdoctorales MSCA PF 2022

Vision desde el otro lado



Eduardo Anaya Plaza 20.06.2022

Hola!

MSCA fellow 2018-2020

AKA Research Fellow (2021-2026)

Evaluador MSCA 2021-



Photoactive Organic Materials group



Biohybrid Materials group





Consideraciones generales

Recibimos entre 4 y 10 propuestas

Las evaluamos fuera de nuestro horario normal

Ponednoslo facil – usad la misma terminologia que en los criterios



EXCELLENCE	IMPACT	QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION
Quality and pertinence of the project's research and innovation objectives (and the extent to which they are ambitious, and go beyond the state of the art)	enhance the career perspectives and employability of the researcher	Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages
methodology(includinginterdisciplinaryapproaches,considerationofthegender	plan, including communication	Quality and capacity of the host institutions and participating organisations, including hosting arrangements
Quality of the supervision, training and of the two-way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host	the project's contribution to the	
Quality and appropriateness of the researcher's professional experience, competences and skills		
50%	30%	20%



Implementation

- □ Risk assessment
- □ Effort assigned → Gantt Chart

☐ Host: supervisor, facilidades, equipo.Otras facilidades no academicas.

QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION

Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages

Quality and capacity of the host institutions and participating organisations, including hosting arrangements



Impact

Que va a significar para ti y para el grupo al que vas esta beca? Desde habilidades tecnicas a soft-skills

☐ Cual va a ser el rendimiento de esta beca?Papers, patentes, outreach

☐ Ese rendimiento, como va a impactar en tu campo, en la sociedad y en la economia?

IMPACT

Credibility of the measures to enhance the career perspectives and employability of the researcher and contribution to his/her skills development

Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise **expected outcomes and impacts**, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities

The magnitude and importance of the project's contribution to the expected scientific, societal and economic impacts

30%



Felicidades! Ya teneis 50 puntos



Excelencia

- □ Estado del arte → gap in knowledge → yo tengo una idea como solucionarlo: hipotesis o research questions (no es lo mismo que output)
- ☐ ¿Como vas a responder esas preguntas? ¿Como de solida es tu aproximación?
- ☐ ¿Por qué quiero responder estas preguntas en este centro y grupo?
- ☐ ¿Por qué yo soy el mejor candidato para responderlas?

EXCELLENCE

Quality and pertinence of the project's research and innovation objectives (and the extent to which they are ambitious, and go beyond the state of the art)

Soundness of the proposed methodology (including interdisciplinary approaches, consideration of the gender dimension and other diversity aspects if relevant for the research project, and the quality of open science practices)

Quality of the supervision, training and of the two-way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host

Quality and appropriateness of the researcher's professional experience, competences and skills



Consejos finales:

Pedid ayuda

Empezad con tiempo, necesitais cada decima

Usad el feedback







Suerte!











aalto.fi



Aalto University School of Chemical Engineering

@anayaplaza